

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

SS:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,988	06/21/2001	Jan-Ake Engstrand	040080-160	3284
7	7590 03/15/2004	EXAM	INER	
Ronald L. Gr		MASINICK, MICHAEL D		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, V	Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			6
		DATE MAILED: 03/15/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Λ.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/884,988	ENGSTRAND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The SCALL DISCOURTS AND A STATE OF THE SCALL DISCOU	Michael D Masinick	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	<u>ine 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·	•,				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/884,988

Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are the means to perform the method steps (i.e. a computer). As currently claimed, Claims 1-8 could be performed using a pencil and paper or in the mind of a user, which is not how the invention is taught in the specification (which clearly teaches a control computer).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 5, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by 1. Japanese Patent No. 2-205808 to Fujitsu Ltd.
- 2. The Fujitsu patent shows method of shaping an end face of an optical fiber, comprising heating the end face to form a substantially hemispherical end face having a predetermined radius value ("best curvature"), comprising the steps of: repetitively calculating an actual radius value of the end face; comparing the actual radius value with the predetermined radius value; continuing heating of the end face if the actual radius value is lower than the predetermined radius value; and discontinuing the heating of the end face if the actual radius value is equal to or higher than the predetermined radius value. Examiner notes that the Fujitsu patent does not specifically say "repetitively calculating an actual radius value", however in the examiners

Art Unit: 2125

opinion, this is an inherent piece of the Fujitsu patent which only proceeds when "the fiber tip is machined to the best curvature.

3. Examiner notes with regards to claims 10 and 11 that any computer controlled system must store the computer program on some sort of memory device and that this is inherent to the system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent No. 2-205808 to Fujitsu Ltd as shown above in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,318,610 to Takimoto et al.
- 6. Fujitsu above does not show applying heating and a pulling force simultaneously to a part of the optical fiber; breaking the optical fiber into two parts by means of the heating and pulling force.
- 7. Takimoto shows applying heating and a pulling force simultaneously to a part of the optical fiber; breaking the optical fiber into two parts by means of the heating and pulling force (Figure 1, description thereof).

Application/Control Number: 09/884,988

Art Unit: 2125

8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the steps of Takimoto

Page 4

to prepare the cable for the steps of Fujitsu because "the production and reliability of the

couplers can be improved" (Col 3, lines 27-59).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D Masinick whose telephone number is (703) 305-7738.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. P.P.

MDM

LEO PICARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100